

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,626	11/13/2003	Peter A. Benson	108298743US	108298743US 2439	
25096	7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER		
PERKINS COIE LLP			IM, JUNGHWA M		
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 124	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			2811		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/713,626	BENSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Junghwa M. Im	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)🔀 This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTo Paper No(s)/Mail Date			rmal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/713,626 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Note that Application contains claims with two distinctive classes, and claims of each group with a different class contain patentably distinct species.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-34 drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass
 678.
 - II Claims 35-59 drawn to a method of fabricating a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 10+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the claimed device can be made through forming a die with an IC on a temporary substrate followed by the formation of a microfeature workpiece. And subsequently, the mating structures are formed. The temporary substrate will be removed for the final device.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,626 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b)

if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least

one claim remaining on the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under

37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Should Applicant elect Group claims I to be examined, Applicant is advised that

this application is further restricted because it contains product claims 1-34 directed to the

following patentably distinctive species of the claimed invention.

Embodiment 1 of Figures 2A-2C

Embodiment 2 of Figure 3

Embodiment 3 of Figures 4A-4B

Embodiment 4 of Figures 6

Embodiment 5 of Figures 7

7. Should Applicant elect Group claims II to be examined, Applicant is advised that

this application is further restricted because it contains product claims 1-34 directed to the

following patentably distinctive species of the claimed invention.

Embodiment 1 of Figures 2A-2C

Embodiment 2 of Figure 3

Art Unit: 2811

Embodiment 3 of Figures 4A-4B

Embodiment 4 of Figures 6

Embodiment 5 of Figures 7

8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic for both groups.

- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- .11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2811

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmi

Hero Loke